

S.No.	Query	DMRC Reply
1	As DMRC will be approving AFC providers from the operations proposed, ownership of technical performance of the system may be with DMRC. FI, being expert in banking domain, may shrug off, conveniently.	Evaluation of AFC Service Provider shall be based on the Initial Eligibility Criteria as given in A3.1(12-14). Ownership of technical performance will lie with the consortium as stated in A2.3(ii) in accordance with the Design parameters placed at Appendix II. Details shall be laid during RFP stage.
2	Consortium arrangements is expected to be 'Jointly and Severally Liable' which will be legal arrangement / binding. In this view, signing of legal document by same entity with multiple parties for same project, may raise 'conflict of interest' concerns.	The Consortium Agreement to be signed at the stage of EOI is for the purpose of applying for the initial eligibility only. In the letter of Participation (to be submitted by each bidder participant) it is clearly mentioned that the Consortium members agree to be jointly and severally liable to DMRC, its successors and assigns for all obligations, duties & responsibilities arising from or imposed by any Contract subsequently entered into between DMRC and our JV/Consortium. So, there is no point of conflict of interest.
3	In case, one or all AFC providers from the option's proposed/approved, decides to abort the bidding decision, entire process of bidding and timelines, may get affected. In view of above, suggestion would be, FI lead proposition with only one AFC partner instead of multiple AFC partners.	The condition wherein any one member of the Consortium decides to abort the bidding decision will lead to disqualification of that bid at any stage of EOI. If all abort, then all Bids will be disqualified. Please also see clause A3.1 (Note 5) of RFP in this respect. The EOI condition to propose 3 options as AFC Service Providers remains unchanged.
4	"Metro/LRT/Mono Rail System"- Could DMRC please confirm whether this is an OR condition i.e. the individual examples can be either Metro Or LRT Or Mono Rail rather than each project having to include Metro AND LRT And Mono Rail?	It is confirmed that this is an 'OR' condition, i.e. the project may include Metro Or LRT Or Mono Rail System.
5	"Metro/LRT/Mono Rail System"- Could DMRC please confirm whether we can also include BRT (Bus Rapid Transit) experience (either with or without stations) as qualifying project experience here since our BRT AFC solutions use the same solutions as our Metro/LRT/Mono Rail projects and are very similar in nature to DMRC's own requirements for this EMV and RuPay based Open Loop Ticketing EOI. We have attached a case study on our Cape Town BRT project experience which illustrates the similarities with general Metro/LRT/Mono Rail projects.	No change in Requirements as the requirement is specific to Rail Environment, with fully Gated solution.
6	"In the last 5 years" - Could DMRC please confirm whether this means the initial delivery of the project should have been completed in the last 5 years or whether ongoing operations in the last 5 years are accepted (i.e. where Vix Technology have / are currently engaged in ongoing operations).	"In the last 5 years" means that the system should have been completed and Put in Revenue Service during the last 5 years.
7	Extension of time up till 05th March, 2018 to One 97 for submitting bid for EOI.	Covered Extension of bid submission upto 9th Feb 2018
8	Permission to file multiple arrangements for EOI with AFC/tech service providers by One97/financial institution.	Each FI can have arrangement with maximum 3 AFC Service Providers (Please see Clause A3.2 of RFP). The same 3 AFC Service Providers can have similar arrangement with another FI.
9	Permission to furnish experience, past performance, and financials etc. as per EOI by any of the Consortium party in place of financial institution/other party.	No change in Requirements.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature